



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,412	02/13/2001	Gary P. Mousseau	555255012194	3123
7590	12/06/2004			EXAMINER
David B. Cochran, Esq. Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			EDELMAN, BRADLEY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/782,412	MOUSSEAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bradley Edelman	2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bradley Edelman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David Cochran.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 01 December 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,275,848 (Arnold), U.S. Patent No. 6,611,358 (Narayanaswamy).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant discussed potential claim language that would overcome the 112 rejections and the prior art rejections. Examiner agreed that an amendment inserting the word "and" at the end of line 13, and changing the term "host system" to "host computer" or the like would overcome the rejection of claim 34 in view of Arnold and Narayanaswamy.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Bradley Edelman  
Examiner's Signature, if required